IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(*Special Original Jurisdiction*)

–

–

FRIDAY, THE TWENTYFOURTH DAY OF MARCH

TWO THOUSAND AND SIX

P R E S E N T

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

* * *

WP.No.5894 OF 2006

Between:

Kasturi Viswanatha Naidu … Petitioner

Versus

Mandal Revenue Officer,

Kodur Mandal,

Kadapa district. … Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner: Mr.M.P.Chandramouli

Counsel for the Respondents: Govt. Pleader for Revenue

O R D E R :

The case of the petitioner is that he purchased the land in an extent of Acs:9-42 cents in survey nos. 1689, 1690, 1683 and 1688 of Koduru village of Koduru Mandal, Kadapa district, vide registered sale deeds dated 09-11-2004, 10-11-2004 and 11-11-2004 from SALC and thereafter the petitioner filed an application on 12-9-2005 before the Mandal Revenue Officer, Koduru, seeking mutation of his name and the said application was received on 16-9-2005 but the same has not been considered and disposed of.

It is stated that the respondent is bound to consider the said representation under section 5 of the A.P.Rights in Land and Pattedar Passbooks Act 1971.

Without going into the merits of the case and I am not inclined to express any opinion with regard to the clear right, title and possession over the land in question. But however, when an application is filed by the petitioner and the same was received by the Mandal Revenue Officer, it is for the Mandal Revenue Officer to consider and dispose of the same, if they have no objection, he has to mutate the

name of the petitioner in old revenue records and pass appropriate orders on merits and in accordance with law, after considering the objections, if any, filed or received, within eight weeks, from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage. No costs.

MARCH 24th, 2006. ----------

Is L. V. ESWARAIAH , J.